

1 **Surface and lightning sources of nitrogen oxides over the United States:
2 magnitudes, chemical evolution, and outflow**

3
4
5 **R C Hudman¹**, D J Jacob¹, S Turquety^{1,2}, E M Leibensperger¹, L T Murray¹, S Wu¹, A B Gilliland³, M
6 Avery⁴, T H Bertram⁵, W Brune⁶, R C Cohen⁵, J E Dibb⁷, F M Flocke⁸, A Fried⁸, J Holloway^{9,10} J A
7 Neuman^{9,10} R Orville¹¹, A Perring⁵, X Ren⁶, T B Ryerson¹⁰, G W Sachse⁴, H B Singh¹², A Swanson^{8,9,10}, P
8 J Wooldridge⁵

9
10
11 1 Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences,
12 Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

13 2 Now at Service d'Aéronomie, IPSL, Paris, France

14 3 Air Resources Laboratory, Atmospheric Sciences Modeling Division, NOAA, USEPA, Research
15 Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA

16 4 Atmospheric Sciences Division, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA

17 5 College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

18 6 Department of Meteorology, Penn State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

19 7 Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New
20 Hampshire, USA

21 8 Earth Observing Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

22 9 Cooperative Institute for Research In Environmental Science, U. of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA

23 10 NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, USA

24 11 Department of Atmospheric Science, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

25 12 NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California, USA

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38 39 **Running Title:** NO_y sources, evolution, and export

40
41 **Key Words:** reactive nitrogen, pollution, lightning

42
43 **Index Terms:** 0322 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Constituent Sources and Sinks, 0345
44 Pollution: urban and regional (0305 , 0478 , 4251), 0368 Troposphere: constituent transport and
45 chemistry, 3324 Lightning, 9350 North America

46
47 48 **Submitted to the Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres, August 10, 2006**

49 **Revised:** October 24, 2006

1 Abstract

2
3 We use observations from two aircraft during the ICARTT campaign over the eastern United States
4 and North Atlantic during summer 2004, interpreted with a global 3-D model of tropospheric chemistry
5 (GEOS-Chem) to test current understanding of the regional sources, chemical evolution, and export of
6 nitrogen oxides. The boundary layer NO_x data provide top-down verification of a 50% decrease in power
7 plant and industry NO_x emissions over the eastern United States between 1999 and 2004. Observed 8-12
8 km NO_x concentrations in ICARTT were 0.55 ± 0.36 ppbv, much larger than in previous United States
9 aircraft campaigns (ELCHEMA, SUCCESS, SONEX). We show that regional lightning was the dominant
10 source of this NO_x and increased upper tropospheric ozone by 10 ppbv. Simulating the ICARTT upper
11 tropospheric NO_x observations with GEOS-Chem require a factor of 4 increase in the model NO_x yield
12 per flash (to 500 mol/flash). Observed OH concentrations were a factor of 2 lower than can be explained
13 from current photochemical models, and if correct would imply a broader lightning influence in the upper
14 troposphere than presently thought. An NO_y -CO correlation analysis of the fraction f of North American
15 NO_x emissions vented to the free troposphere as NO_y (sum of NO_x and its oxidation products PAN and
16 HNO₃) shows observed $f=16 \pm 10\%$ and modeled $f=14 \pm 8\%$, consistent with previous studies. Export to
17 the lower free troposphere is mostly HNO₃ but at higher altitudes is mostly PAN. The model successfully
18 simulates NO_y export efficiency and speciation, supporting previous model estimates of a large U.S.
19 contribution to tropospheric ozone through NO_x and PAN export.

1 1. Introduction

2 Quantifying the sources and fate of nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x \equiv \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) over northern mid-
3 latitudes continents is critical for assessing anthropogenic influence on global tropospheric ozone
4 [Pickering *et al.*, 1992; Jacob *et al.*, 1993; Thompson *et al.*, 1994; Li *et al.*, 2004]. The
5 International Consortium on Atmospheric Transport and Transformation (ICARTT) aircraft study
6 [Singh *et al.*, 2006a; Fehsenfeld *et al.*, 2006], which took place in July – August 2004 over the
7 eastern United States and the North Atlantic, provides an opportunity for this purpose. We present
8 here a global 3-D model analysis of ICARTT observations for NO_x , its chemical reservoirs, and
9 related species including hydrogen oxide (HO_x) radicals to quantify continental NO_x sources from
10 combustion and lightning, determine the chemical fate of NO_x in the United States boundary
11 layer and in North American outflow, and examine the implications for ozone.

12 Ozone production in the troposphere is principally limited by the supply of NO_x [Chameides,
13 1992]. Fossil fuel combustion accounts for over half of the global NO_x source [Intergovernmental
14 Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2001]. The United States has been actively reducing its
15 summertime NO_x emissions since 1998 to decrease ozone smog. The Environmental Protection
16 Agency (EPA) NO_x State Implementation Plan (SIP) Call mandated that 22 eastern states meet
17 state-specific total NO_x emissions reductions by 2003 (Phase 1) and further reduction by 2007
18 (Phase 2). By 2003, all 22 states had reduced NO_x point source emissions to their Phase 1 levels.
19 Frost *et al.*, [2006] determined from stack sampling that power plant emissions of NO_x decreased
20 50% between 1999 and 2003. NO_x levels in the U.S. will likely continue to drop. In March 2005,
21 the EPA issued the Clean Air Interstate Rule, which will, when fully implemented, permanently
22 reduce NO_x emissions to 60% of 2003 levels in 25 eastern states. The ICARTT observations
23 offer an opportunity to check on these emission reductions.

24 Oxidation of NO_x to HNO_3 , peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), and other minor products takes place
25 on the order of hours, so that rapid ozone production is confined to the continental boundary layer
26 (CBL), seemingly limiting its affect on global ozone. However, the dependence of ozone

1 production on NO_x is highly nonlinear; the ozone production efficiency per unit NO_x consumed
2 (OPE) increases rapidly as the NO_x concentration decreases [Liu *et al.*, 1987]. This means that a
3 small fraction of emitted NO_x exported to the free troposphere by frontal lifting, deep convection,
4 or boundary layer venting could lead to significant ozone production in the free troposphere over
5 the continent or downwind [Jacob *et al.*, 1993; Thompson *et al.*, 1994]. Similarly, PAN (which is
6 thermally unstable and not water-soluble) can be vented from the boundary layer and transported
7 on a global scale at cold temperatures, eventually decomposing to release NO_x as air masses
8 subside. Quantifying the sources, chemical evolution, and export of anthropogenic NO_x (and
9 PAN) is thus critical to understanding the North American contribution to the global ozone
10 budget. This contribution is important from the perspective of ozone as a greenhouse gas
11 [Mickley *et al.*, 2004] and for intercontinental transport of ozone pollution [Jacob *et al.*, 1999;
12 Holloway *et al.*, 2003].

13 Early Eulerian models found that the fraction f of NO_x emitted in the United States that is
14 exported out of the CBL as NO_y (sum of NO_x and its oxidation products) ranges from 25% in
15 summer to 35% in winter [Horowitz *et al.*, 1998; Liang *et al.*, 1998]. Subsequent Lagrangian
16 analyses using NO_y -CO correlations measured from aircraft in free tropospheric outflow (2-6
17 km), over the North Atlantic in September (NARE '97) seemed to contradict these model results,
18 with estimates of f ranging from only 3% [Stohl *et al.*, 2002] to $9 \pm 14\%$ [Parrish *et al.*, 2004].
19 Li *et al.* [2004] reconciled the Eulerian and Lagrangian approaches by pointing out that the early
20 Eulerian models had insufficient HNO_3 scavenging, while the Lagrangian models underestimated
21 background CO. They derived a consistent value $f = 17\text{-}20\%$ by both approaches for the
22 NARE'97 period. The ICARTT study offers far more geographical coverage and chemical
23 information in the boundary layer and the free troposphere than previous studies, enabling better
24 constraints on the estimates of anthropogenic export and associated NO_y speciation, as well as the
25 underlying source and chemical factors.

1 In addition to convectively lofted NO_x, a highly uncertain source of NO_x to the upper
 2 troposphere is from lightning. Global lightning source estimates range from 1 to 12 Tg yr⁻¹ [Price
 3 *et al.*, 1997; Nesbitt *et al.*, 2000], with the most recent estimates in the range 1-6 Tg N yr⁻¹
 4 [Boersma *et al.*, 2005; Beirle *et al.*, 2006; Martin *et al.*, 2006b]. Past studies disagree on the
 5 relative importance of lightning versus convective injection in supplying upper tropospheric NO_x
 6 [Jaeglé *et al.*, 1998; Levy *et al.*, 1999; Grawe *et al.*, 1999; Li *et al.*, 2005]. Here we use the
 7 ICARTT data to examine the contribution of each.

8 **2. The ICARTT Study**

9 ICARTT took place over eastern North America and the North Atlantic in July-August 2004.
 10 A major objective was to quantify North American sources and outflow of pollutants and
 11 climatically important species. Two principal components directed at that objective were the
 12 NOAA New England Air Quality Study/Intercontinental Transport and Chemical Transformation
 13 (NEAQS-ITCT 2004) and the NASA Intercontinental Transport Experiment – North America,
 14 Phase A (INTEX-A).

15 The NOAA NEAQS- ITCT 2004 campaign [Fehsenfeld *et al.*, 2006] took place July 3 –
 16 August 15, 2004 over the NW Atlantic and the NE United States out of Portsmouth, New
 17 Hampshire (Figure 1). It used a WP-3D aircraft (ceiling ~6 km). The NASA INTEX-A campaign
 18 [Singh *et al.*, 2006a] took place June 29 – August 14 over the central and eastern United States,
 19 and the North Atlantic, from bases at Edwards (California), St. Louis (Missouri), and Portsmouth
 20 (New Hampshire). It used a DC-8 aircraft (ceiling ~12 km) with extensive vertical profiling and
 21 boundary layer mapping at 1 kft. (Figure 1) The WP-3D remained close to New England
 22 throughout the mission, focusing on emissions verification and chemical transformation of major
 23 urban pollution plumes. Detailed descriptions of aircraft payloads and measurement techniques
 24 are in Fehsenfeld *et al.*, [2006] and Singh *et al.*, [2006a] for the WP-3D and DC-8 respectively.

25 We make use here of the following 1-minute average measurements (accuracies given in
 26 parentheses for DC-8, WP-3D respectively): ozone (5%,3%); CO (5%,2.5%); CH₂O (10%, DC-

1 8 NCAR measurement only);, HNO₄(15%, DC-8 only); H₂O₂(20%, DC-8 only); NO (15%, 5%)
2 DC-8 Pennsylvania State U. measurement only); NO₂ (10%,8%); HNO₃ (15%, 15%);
3 PAN(15%,15%), OH(15%, DC-8 only), and HO₂ (15%, DC-8 only). We estimate the
4 concentration of NO_y in free tropospheric export from the DC-8 data as the sum of NO_x, PAN,
5 and HNO₃. Nitrate aerosol, organic nitrates other than PAN, and HNO₄ were also measured
6 aboard the DC-8 [Cohen *et al.*, 2000; Dibb *et al.*, 2003; Huey *et al.*, 2004], but accounted for less
7 than 10% of NO_y in the free troposphere and the data sets were sparse.

8 Ventilation of the eastern United States in summer is primarily driven by cyclones
9 tracking eastward typically every 5 days in the 45° - 55°N band [Li *et al.*, 2005]. During ICARTT
10 a persistent trough along the east coast led to cyclones extending further south [Fuelberg *et al.*,
11 2006], and lack of stagnant surface high pressure led to a record low number of air quality
12 violations [Thompson *et al.*, 2006]. Parrish *et al.* [2004] found that outflow from fair weather
13 cumulus rising to 3-4 km provides an additional ventilation mechanism. Yet another mechanism,
14 particularly in the south, is deep convection from surface heating [Li *et al.*, 2005]; this mechanism
15 is often associated with lightning activity.

16 3. Model Description

17 We simulate the ICARTT observations with the GEOS-Chem global 3-D model of
18 tropospheric chemistry (version 7.02; <http://www.as.harvard.edu/chemistry/trop/geos/>) driven by
19 assimilated meteorological observations from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-4) of
20 the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). The model is applied to a global
21 simulation of ozone-NO_x-hydrocarbon-aerosol chemistry with 120 species simulated explicitly. A
22 general description of GEOS-Chem is given by Bey *et al.* [2001] and a description of the coupled
23 oxidant-aerosol simulation as used here is given by Park *et al.* [2004]. Partitioning of total nitric
24 acid between the gas and aerosol phases is calculated using the MARS-A thermodynamic
25 equilibrium model [Binkowski and Roselle, 2003]. Emissions in the model are as described by
26 Park *et al.* [2004] unless specified otherwise.

1 Meteorological fields in the GEOS-4 data have a temporal resolution of 6 hours (3 hours
2 for surface variables and mixing depths) and a horizontal resolution of 1° latitude by 1.25°
3 longitude, with 55 vertical sigma levels between the surface and 0.1 hPa (including about 16 in
4 the troposphere and 5 in the boundary layer up to 2 km). For input to GEOS-Chem we degrade
5 here the horizontal resolution to 2° latitude by 2.5° longitude. Mean afternoon boundary layer
6 heights over the eastern United States in the GEOS-4 data for the ICARTT period are 1100 ± 400
7 m AGL. Tropopause heights are 13.3 ± 2.2 km. The cross-tropopause ozone flux is specified
8 globally with the Synoz method [McLinden *et al.*, 2000] while the NO_y flux is calculated from
9 N_2O oxidation in the model stratosphere [Bey *et al.*, 2001]. Global net cross-tropopause fluxes of
10 ozone and NO_y are $495 \text{ Tg O}_3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and 2 Tg N yr^{-1} , respectively. The model wet deposition
11 scheme [Liu *et al.*, 2001] includes contributions from scavenging in convective updrafts, and
12 rainout and washout from convective anvils and large-scale precipitation, and it allows for return
13 to the atmosphere following evaporation. Soluble gases are taken up by liquid water on the basis
14 of their effective Henry's law, by ice on the basis of co-condensation or surface coverage, with
15 species-specific retention efficiencies when droplets freeze, as described by Mari *et al.*, [2000].
16 The simulations are conducted for July-August 2004 and are initialized with a 18-month spin-up
17 simulation. For comparison with observations, the model is sampled along the aircraft flight
18 tracks and times. The comparisons exclude fresh pollution plumes as diagnosed by $\text{NO}_x/\text{NO}_y >$
19 0.4 mol mol^{-1} or (if NO_y is not available) $\text{NO}_2 > 4 \text{ ppbv}$ and altitude $< 3 \text{ km}$; biomass burning
20 plumes as diagnosed by $\text{HCN} > 500 \text{ pptv}$ or $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} > 225 \text{ pptv}$; and stratospheric air as
21 diagnosed by $\text{ozone/CO} > 1.25 \text{ mol mol}^{-1}$. These filters exclude 8%, 22% (fresh pollution plumes);
22 5%, 8% (biomass burning plumes), and 6%, 0% (stratospheric air) of the overall data set for the
23 DC-8 and WP-3D, respectively.

24 A major focus of our work is to use the ICARTT observations to test and improve U.S.
25 NO_x emission estimates. We will show simulations with "standard" emissions based on a priori
26 information from the standard version of the GEOS-Chem model, and "improved" emissions that

1 reflect the ICARTT constraints and improved understanding. These emissions for the ICARTT
2 period (July 1 – August 15, 2004) are summarized in Table 1. Original fossil and biofuel
3 emissions in the United States are from the EPA 1999 National Emission Inventory (NEI99).
4 They amount to 0.79 Tg N for July 1 –August 15 and 6.2 Tg N annually, with distribution shown
5 in Figure 2 (left). Transportation accounts for 35%, industry 17%, power generation 26%, and
6 other sources 22% (mostly non-road vehicles). As we will see in section 5, NO_x concentrations,
7 are overestimated using the 1999 inventory, in the U.S. boundary layer, consistent with reduction
8 of NO_x emissions from power plants by 50% from 1999 to 2004 driven by the NO_x SIP Call
9 [Frost *et al.*, 2006]. These reductions are being added to subsequent inventories. Reduction of the
10 power plant and industry sources results in the improved inventory of Table 1 and Figure 2
11 (right). The ICARTT data also show that CO emissions in the NEI99 inventory are 30% too high,
12 as discussed below, and we make this adjustment in the improved inventory.

13 The global lightning source of NO_x in GEOS-Chem is computed with the scheme of
14 *Price and Rind* [1992] that relates number of flashes to convective cloud top heights as described
15 in *Wang et al.*, [1998]. We scale the NO_x production to 4.7 Tg N yr^{-1} globally, resulting from 2.7
16 $\times 10^9$ flashes, and distribute this source vertically following *Pickering et al.* [1998] (55-75%
17 above 8 km, up to 23% in lowest km). The resulting U.S. emissions for the ICARTT period
18 (original inventory) are 0.067 Tg N for the contiguous United States and coastal waters (130-
19 70°W, 25-50°N), concentrated over the Southwest and along the Gulf of Mexico. As we will see
20 in section 6, successful simulation of the ICARTT upper tropospheric NO_x observations in
21 GEOS-Chem requires a factor of 4 increase in this source along with an upward shift to the July
22 mean tropopause height, and this is included in the improved inventory.

23 Extensive and persistent boreal forest fires took place in Alaska and NW Canada in
24 summer 2004; in contrast, there were no significant fires in Siberia. We use the daily biomass
25 burning inventory of *Turquety et al.* [2006] for North American fires during ICARTT. This
26 inventory was constructed by combining daily area burned reports from government agencies and

1 hot spots detected from space by the MODIS instrument with estimates of fuel loadings and
 2 emission factors depending on the type of ecosystem burned. This inventory for CO was
 3 evaluated against MOPITT columns as described by *Turquety et al.* [2006]. North American CO
 4 and NO_y fire emissions for July 1 –August 15 are 20 Tg CO, 0.3 Tg N. Short-lived hydrocarbons
 5 emitted from fires drive fast conversion of NO_x to PAN, slowing down ozone formation [*Jacob et*
 6 *al.*, 1992] but this is inadequately represented in the model where the fastest-reacting pyrogenic
 7 hydrocarbon is propene [*Hudman et al.*, 2006]. In the improved emission inventory we release
 8 80% of the biomass burning NO_x as PAN.

9

10 **4. Hydrogen oxide radicals and reservoir species**

11 Hydrogen oxide radicals (HO_x \equiv OH + peroxy radicals) and their reservoirs (H₂O₂, CH₂O,
 12 HNO₄) largely define the photochemical environment for NO_x oxidation and ozone production.
 13 Figure 3 shows simulated and observed mean vertical distributions of OH, HO₂, H₂O₂, CH₂O, and
 14 HNO₄ for the ensemble of INTEX-A flights. Observed OH increases with altitude from 0.15
 15 pptv at the surface to 0.43 pptv at 11 km, while HO₂ decreases from 19 pptv at the surface to 5
 16 pptv at 11 km reflecting the positive relationship of OH/HO₂ ratio with NO [*Jaeglé et al.*, 2000].
 17 H₂O₂ concentrations gradually decrease from 2.6 pptv at the surface to 0.15 pptv at 11km,
 18 reflecting the decrease in water vapor [*Heikes et al.*, 1992]. CH₂O also decreases with altitude,
 19 reflecting the boundary layer source from oxidation of isoprene [*Millet et al.*, 2006]. HNO₄, a
 20 thermally unstable molecule that is an important HO_x reservoir in the upper troposphere [*Jaeglé*
 21 *et al.*, 2000], has an 8-9 km peak of 62 pptv.

22 OH and HO₂ in the original simulation match observations in the lowest 1 km, but are too
 23 high above by 60% and 30% respectively. Measurement nominal accuracy for both is 15%, well
 24 below this bias [*Ren et al.*, 2006]. Increasing the lightning NO_x source in the improved simulation
 25 decreases HO₂ while increasing OH. This fully corrects the HO₂ overestimate but worsens the OH
 26 overestimate above 5 km. Similar HO_x simulation biases are found in a box photochemical model

1 constrained with local ICARTT observations [Olson *et al.*, 2006b; Ren *et al.*, 2006], and which
 2 closely reproduces GEOS-Chem results. The OH bias must reflect either instrument error or a
 3 fundamental flaw in current understanding of HO_x photochemistry; see Olson *et al.* [2006b] and
 4 Ren *et al.* [2006] for further discussion. In what follows we take the model OH at face value but
 5 will also discuss the effect of possible model bias.

6 The model simulates in general well the concentrations of the HO_x reservoirs H₂O₂,
 7 CH₂O, and HNO₄ (Figure 3). H₂O₂ is unbiased in the free troposphere, but is overestimated below
 8 3 km by ~30%; a possible cause is the model conversion of HO₂ to H₂O₂ in aerosols [Martin *et*
 9 *al.*, 2003], which recent measurements find to be much lower than previously estimated
 10 [Thornton and Abbatt, 2005]. The CH₂O simulation, discussed in detail by Millet *et al.*, [2006],
 11 matches observations closely and is largely insensitive to changes in OH (which affect sources
 12 and sinks in the same direction). HNO₄ is well matched in the original simulation but is
 13 overestimated by 30% when the lightning source is increased.

14 **5. Boundary Layer NO_x over the United States**

15 Figure 4 compares simulated and observed mean vertical distributions of CO, NO_x, PAN,
 16 HNO₃, and ozone concentrations for the ensemble of DC-8 and WP-3D flights. Observed CO,
 17 NO_x, PAN, and HNO₃ are elevated below 3 km, reflecting anthropogenic sources. The model
 18 with original emissions is too high for all four species. Reducing the U.S. NO_x emissions from
 19 stationary sources by 50% from 1999 to 2004 to account for the NO_x SIP Call [Frost *et al.*, 2006]
 20 removes much of the model boundary layer NO_x bias over the Midwest United States. This
 21 corresponds to a 20% overall in the anthropogenic NO_x source (Table 1). Parrish, [2006], using
 22 urban ambient measurements of the CO/NO_x ratio along with fuel sales data, previously found
 23 that the on-road vehicular source in the NEI99 inventory is accurate for NO_x but 50% too high for
 24 CO. Applying this correction to the on-road vehicular CO source, which represents 60% of total
 25 CO emissions in the United States according to NEI99, decreases the mean CO bias from 35

1 ppbv to 20 ppbv in the WP-3D data and from 25 to 19 ppbv for the DC-8 data (Figure 4). Further
 2 source reduction would be needed to match the boundary layer observations for CO.

3

4 **6. Upper tropospheric NO_x over the United States**

5 NO_x concentrations observed in ICARTT show a decrease from the boundary layer to the free
 6 troposphere, but then a sharp rise with altitude above 6 km (Figure 4). Mean concentrations reach
 7 0.55 ± 0.36 ppbv at 8-12 km altitude, higher than in the boundary layer. The NO/NO_x molar ratio
 8 averages 75% both in the observations and the model for the 8-12 km region (all data are for
 9 daytime). Observed PAN shows a broad maximum at 6-10 km. In contrast, HNO₃ is depleted in
 10 the free troposphere due to scavenging during uplift. Mean ozone increases with altitude from 50
 11 ppbv near the surface to 75 ppbv at 8km.

12 The original model greatly underestimates the upper tropospheric NO_x enhancement; the
 13 discrepancy increases with altitude from a factor of 3 at 8 km to a factor of 5 at 11 km. Simulated
 14 PAN is too low by ~30% while HNO₃ is well simulated. Ozone is too low by 10 ppbv throughout
 15 the free troposphere.

16 Measurements of upper tropospheric NO_x from previous aircraft campaigns over the United
 17 States indicate much lower concentrations than observed in ICARTT. *Jaeglé et al.*, [1998] report
 18 mean NO concentrations from the SUCCESS campaign out of Kansas (April – May 1996) of
 19 0.030 ± 0.022 ppbv for 8-10 km and 0.061 ± 0.045 ppbv for 10-12 km. *Ridley et al.*, [1994]
 20 report mean NO concentrations of 0.2 ± 0.1 ppbv over New Mexico during during ELCHEM
 21 (July – August 1989), even though convection was frequently targeted. SONEX observations in
 22 October-November 1997 over Maine and Atlantic Canada indicate mean NO concentrations
 23 between 6-12 km of 0.1 ppbv (all data) and 0.23 ppbv (convective outflow) [*Crawford et al.*,
 24 2000]. SUCCESS and SONEX were in spring and fall, whereas lightning over the United States

1 peaks in June-August; ELCHEM took place in the southwestern United States whereas maximum
 2 lightning is over the Gulf Coast [*Orville and Huffines, 2001*].

3 Figure 5 (left) shows the observed spatial distribution of mean upper tropospheric NO_x
 4 concentrations. Values exceeding 1 ppbv extend over much of the Southeast and Midwest. Deep
 5 convective injection of boundary layer pollution cannot explain these high values since the NO_x
 6 mixing ratio above 8 km is greater than that in the boundary layer (Figure 4). Aircraft emissions
 7 cannot provide an explanation either because the geographical distribution does not match the
 8 aircraft corridor along the Eastern United States and North Atlantic [*Gauss et al., 2006*], and in
 9 any case these emissions are fairly well constrained from atmospheric measurements [*Meijer et*
 10 *al., 2000*] and represent only a small source of upper tropospheric NO_x (Table 1). Transport of
 11 NO_x from the stratosphere is also well constrained by atmospheric observations and is negligibly
 12 small, about 0.2 Tg N yr⁻¹ globally [*Murphy et al., 1993; Jacob et al, 1996*]

13 Lightning provides the best explanation for the elevated NO_x in the upper troposphere
 14 during ICARTT. Figure 6 (left) shows National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN) mean
 15 lightning flash rates for July 1- August 15. The NLDN data (>100 sites in the continental United
 16 States) were collected by Vaisala (www.vaisala.com) and supplied to us by the Global Hydrology
 17 Resource Center at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center. The NLDN network measures only
 18 cloud-to-ground lightning flashes, and intracloud flashes are estimated to be about 3 times that
 19 amount [*Boccippio et al., 2001*]. Cloud-to-ground flash detection efficiencies are >90% over the
 20 continental United States and degrade rapidly off-shore and beyond U.S. borders. The NLDN
 21 lightning activity is heaviest in the Gulf of Mexico region but also has maxima in the Midwest.
 22 An additional tongue of lightning activity extends along the southwest U.S. over Arizona and
 23 New Mexico. We see substantial coincidence in Figure 5 and 6 between the geographical
 24 distribution of lightning and that of upper tropospheric NO_x.

25 2004 was not an anomalous year for lightning over the United States, as shown in Figure
 26 7 with total NLDN lightning flash counts for July-August 2000-2005. There is a notable jump in

1 lightning flashes from 2001 to 2002, which reflects an upgrade to detection completed during
2 2002. The comparable years are thus 2003-2005. GEOS-Chem lightning counts computed using
3 consistent GEOS-4 meteorology for 2000-2005 also show relatively little interannual variability
4 in total lightning over the contiguous United States, with 2004 being typical. We find in the
5 model that lightning flash rates over the eastern United States in 2004 are everywhere within 20%
6 of the 1995-2005 mean.

7 We can make a rough estimate of lightning emissions over the United States during
8 ICARTT by using NLDN flash rates, which only measure cloud-to-ground, multiplying by 4 to
9 account for intracloud flashes [Boccipio *et al.*, 2001], and assuming a 500 mol NO_x /flash
10 production rate derived from the mean peak NLDN current [Ott *et al.*, 2006]. We deduce an
11 emission of 0.45 Tg N from the NLDN dataset. This is a factor of 7 above the standard GEOS-
12 Chem simulation (Table 1). The model captures the maximum along the Gulf Coast but is too low
13 offshore and over the Midwest (Figure 6). We tried to improve this model distribution with
14 alternate lightning parameterizations based on cloud mass flux or convective precipitation [Allen
15 and Pickering, 2002], but the cloud mass flux parameterization did not capture the Gulf
16 maximum while the convective precipitation scheme did not capture the lightning distribution
17 over land.

18 The global lightning source of NO_x in GEOS-Chem is 4.7 Tg N yr⁻¹ from 2.7×10^9
19 flashes, corresponding to 125 mol/flash, a factor of 4 below the Ott *et al.*, [2006] estimate,
20 justifying a factor of 4 increase to the GEOS-Chem lightning source over the United States.
21 Figure 5 (right) shows the resulting mean 8-12 km NO_x concentrations, successful over the South
22 where lightning flashes are correctly simulated, but still showing discrepancies in the Midwest
23 due to model error in the geographical distribution of lightning.

24 On a global scale though, a NO_x yield of 500 mol/flash would appear to lead to an
25 excessive lightning source. The OTD-LIS v1.0 gridded satellite lightning climatology produced
26 by the NASA LIS/OTD Science Team (Principal Investigator, Dr. Hugh J. Christian, NASA /

1 Marshall Space Flight Center), available from the Global Hydrology Resource Center
2 (<http://ghrc.msfc.nasa.gov>), yields 1.5×10^9 flashes yr⁻¹. Combining a NO_x yield of 500 mol/flash
3 with this global estimate would imply a lightning source of 10.5 Tg N/year, which seems too high
4 based on constraints from satellite observations [Boersma *et al.*, 2005; Martin *et al.*, 2006b] and
5 tropical ozonesondes [Martin *et al.*, 2002]. While physical mechanisms responsible are not well
6 understood, recent observational evidence suggests that NO_x yields per flash are lower in tropical
7 than in mid-latitude storms [Huntrieser *et al.*, 2006].

8 The lifetime of NO_x in the upper troposphere is a major uncertainty in scaling the
9 lightning source to match the ICARTT observations. As shown in section 4, the model OH
10 concentration in the upper troposphere is a factor of 2 higher than observed. Figure 8 shows
11 simulated and observed frequency distributions of NO_x concentrations at 8-12 km on the 2°x2.5°
12 model grid. If the model lifetime were too short due to excessive OH, then one might expect the
13 variability in the model to be larger than observed, but Figure 8 shows that this is not the case,
14 adding some support to the simulated NO_x lifetime.

15 The large lightning source inferred from the ICARTT observations has important
16 implications for tropospheric ozone. Li *et al.*, [2005] found that a semi-permanent upper level
17 cyclone above the southern United States in summer allows ozone build-up in the upper
18 troposphere by trapping convectively lifted precursors and lightning NO_x. Cooper *et al.*, [2006]
19 confirmed the resulting ozone maximum by analysis of ozonesonde data during ICARTT, and
20 found an associated 11-14 ppbv contribution to ozone from lightning averaged over the eastern
21 United States. Figure 4 shows that the improved simulation with increased lightning largely
22 removes the upper tropospheric ozone bias in the original simulation (reduction in surface
23 anthropogenic NO_x emissions in the improved simulation decreases upper tropospheric ozone by
24 only 1-2 ppbv). The residual bias appears due to insufficient lightning generation in the Midwest
25 (Figure 6). We find in the model that lightning enhances upper tropospheric ozone by ~10 ppbv,
26 consistent with the analysis of Cooper *et al.*, [2006].

1 **7. Chemical evolution and export of U.S. NO_x emissions**

2 In this section we use the ICARTT data to estimate the export of anthropogenic NO_y from the
 3 U.S. boundary layer to the free troposphere and the speciation of this NO_y. Figure 9 shows the
 4 simulated and observed NO_y speciation below 2km. Here and from now on model results are
 5 from the improved simulation with reduced fuel NO_x emissions and increased lightning (Table 1).

6 The mean observed NO_x/NO_y ratio at 0-2 km is 30% in the Northeast/Midwest, reflecting the
 7 density of sources, 21% in the South, and 15% offshore. The dominant component of NO_y in all
 8 three regions is HNO₃, averaging 53% in the Northeast/Midwest and 75% offshore. PAN is
 9 favored in the South, despite high temperatures, likely due to large isoprene emission [Horowitz
 10 *et al.*, 1998]. The mean PAN/NO_x ratio is 1.02 in the Northeast/Midwest and 1.54 in the South.

11 The model is remarkably successful at reproducing these fractions and patterns.

12 We can estimate the export efficiency f of NO_y from the North American boundary layer,
 13 following the approach of *Parrish et al.* [2004], by viewing CO as an inert tracer and comparing
 14 the enhancement ratio $\Delta NO_y / \Delta CO$ in North American pollution outflow to the anthropogenic
 15 molar emission ratio R of CO to NO_x:

$$16 \quad f = R \cdot \alpha \frac{\Delta NO_y}{\Delta CO} \quad (1)$$

17 where α is a correction factor to account for the CO source from boundary layer
 18 oxidation of nonmethane hydrocarbons, particularly biogenic isoprene [Chin *et al.*, 1994].
 19 Derivation of the export efficiency following (1) requires estimates of R , α , and the
 20 background NO_y and CO concentrations to which the enhancements Δ are referenced. By
 21 adopting constant values for these variables, as discussed by Li *et al.* [2004], we obtain a
 22 simple observationally-based diagnostic of export for testing more elaborate models such
 23 as GEOS-Chem. We use here and background concentrations of 95 ppbv CO [Li *et al.*, 2004]
 24 and 100 pptv NO_y [Parrish *et al.*, 2004], $\alpha = 1.2$ [Chin *et al.*, 1994], and $R = 5.9 \text{ mol mol}^{-1}$ from

1 our improved GEOS-Chem simulation for the United States east of 100°W. R varies little by
 2 region between the Midwest (5.6), South(5.9), and Northeast(6.1).

3 We apply equation (1) to every anthropogenic pollution plume observed by the DC-8 and
 4 WP-3D between 2.5 and 6.5km as defined by a CO enhancement $\Delta\text{CO} > 30$ ppbv. Plumes above
 5 6 km are ignored due to lightning NO_x interference, and we also exclude biomass burning plumes
 6 diagnosed from nitrile data (section 2). Anthropogenic pollution plumes defined in this manner
 7 represent 11% of the combined INTEX-A and ITCT2k4 data at 2.5-6.5 km. From these data we
 8 find a mean NO_y export efficiency $f=16\pm10\%$ to the free troposphere with mean composition of
 9 13% NO_x , 40% PAN, and 47% HNO_3 . Sampling the model along the ICARTT flight tracks
 10 shows a comparable value in both magnitude and variability: $f=14 \pm 8\%$ with mean composition
 11 of 9% NO_x , 42% PAN, and 49% HNO_3 . Previous studies using aircraft data for North American
 12 outflow in NARE'97 [Li *et al.*, 2004; Parrish *et al.*, 2004] and Asian outflow in TRACE-P
 13 [Koike *et al.*, 2003; Miyazaki *et al.*, 2003] similarly found f values in the range 10-20%.
 14 Figure 10 shows the vertical distribution of f and the speciation of this exported NO_y . Highest
 15 observed mean and variability of $f(18 \pm 11\%)$ is at 2.5-3.5 km, where HNO_3 dominates the NO_y
 16 export fraction (54%). The model in that altitude range shows a similar value of $f(15 \pm 9\%)$ and
 17 HNO_3 fraction (55%). Parrish *et al.* [2004] proposed that this shallow venting is due to fair
 18 weather cumulus breaking through the afternoon boundary layer. In the model, outflow from such
 19 shallow wet convection would experience only limited scavenging of soluble species [Liu *et al.*,
 20 2001]. In a 2-km deep updraft column 63% of HNO_3 would be scavenged, allowing for some
 21 HNO_3 export to the free troposphere, consistent with observations.

22 The export efficiency f decreases with altitude in the model and observations
 23 reflecting HNO_3 scavenging during uplift, except between 5.5-6.5 km in the model,
 24 where lightning may begin to affect the calculation. We see from Figure 10 that PAN is the
 25 principal component of exported NO_y above 4 km, both in the observations and in the model.

1 This dominance of PAN in free tropospheric continental outflow of NO_y has been previously
2 observed in aircraft campaigns downwind of North America [Parrish *et al.*, 2004] and Asia
3 [Miyazaki *et al.*, 2003].

4 **8 Conclusions**

5 The ICARTT study in summer 2004 provided extensive observations of reactive nitrogen
6 (NO_y) species over the eastern United States and western North Atlantic, from the surface to 12
7 km altitude. We have interpreted these observations with a global 3-D model of tropospheric
8 chemistry (GEOS-Chem) to place constraints on the sources, chemical evolution, and export of
9 NO_y from North America.

10 ICARTT observations in the continental boundary layer provide top-down verification of the
11 recent decrease in stationary NO_x emissions in the eastern United States mandated by the NO_x
12 SIP Call. Model simulation of NO_x in ICARTT indicates that the latest comprehensive national
13 emission inventory done for 1999 (NEI 99) is too high over the Midwest by almost a factor of 2.
14 This is consistent with the 50% reduction in stationary sources from 1999 to 2004 inferred from
15 power plant smokestack monitoring [Frost *et al.*, 2006] and amounts to a 22% decrease in U.S.
16 anthropogenic NO_x emissions, to 0.62 Tg N for the July 1-August 15 ICARTT period. GEOS-
17 Chem emissions of anthropogenic NO_x during that same period were 0.98 Tg N for East Asia and
18 0.53 Tg N for Europe.

19 Observed NO_x concentrations in ICARTT show a sharp rise above 6 km with mean
20 concentrations reaching 0.55 ± 0.36 ppbv at 8-12 km, higher than observed in the U.S. boundary
21 layer and much higher than observed in the upper troposphere on previous U.S. aircraft
22 campaigns (SONEX, SUCCESS, ELCHEM). A close correspondence is observed between the
23 spatial distribution of upper tropospheric NO_x during ICARTT and lightning flash counts
24 observed by the National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN), identifying lightning as the
25 dominant source for the observed NO_x. Using NLDN flash rates for July 1- August 15, scaled up
26 by a factor of 4 to account for intra-cloud flashes [Boccippio *et al.*, 2001], and assuming a 500

1 mol NO_x /flash production rate following *Ott et al.*, [2006], we deduce a lightning NO_x emission
2 of 0.45 Tg N over the United States and adjacent coastal areas. This is a factor of 7 higher than in
3 the standard GEOS-Chem simulation, which uses a yield of 125 mol NO_x/flash for a global
4 lightning NO_x source of 4.7 Tg N yr⁻¹. We can reproduce the upper tropospheric NO_x observed
5 over the southern United States in ICARTT by increasing the lightning NO_x yield in the model by
6 a factor of four to the *Ott et al.* [2006] value.

7 This also provides a successful simulation of the observed frequency distribution of upper
8 tropospheric NO_x, and corrects a 5-10 ppbv low bias in the simulation of ozone in the free
9 troposphere in the model. The factor of four increase is probably not extrapolatable globally as
10 the resulting global lightning source in the model (19 Tg N yr⁻¹) would be too high relative to
11 observational constraints [*Boersma et al.*, 2005; *Martin et al.*, 2006b]. Recent observations
12 suggest that mid-latitude storms have higher NO_x yields than tropical storms [*Huntrieser et al.*,
13 2006].

14 Uncertainty in OH concentrations in the upper troposphere is a significant limitation for
15 interpreting quantitatively the observed NO_x concentrations in terms of an implied lightning NO_x
16 source. Simulated upper tropospheric OH concentrations in GEOS-Chem are about a factor of 2
17 higher than observed in ICARTT, and the same bias is found in box photochemical model
18 calculations constrained with the aircraft observations [*Olson et al.*, 2006b; *Ren et al.*, 2006]. As
19 discussed by *Olson et al.* [2006b] and also in *Spivakovsky et al.* [2000], this discrepancy is
20 beyond what one might expect from standard error propagation in a photochemical model, and
21 points either to instrument error or to some fundamental flaw in understanding of upper
22 tropospheric HO_x chemistry that would in turn affect the simulated NO_x lifetime. A model
23 decrease of OH by a factor of 2 would correspondingly decrease the required increase in the
24 lightning NO_x source.

25 We examined the speciation of NO_y over the United States, in the observations and in the
26 model, to gain insight into the chemical evolution and export of NO_y. The NO_x fraction is largest

1 in the boundary layer over the Northeast, averaging 30%, and reflecting the density of sources.
2 PAN makes a somewhat larger contribution to NO_y in the Southeast than elsewhere, possibly
3 reflecting isoprene emissions. The model reproduces well the observed partitioning of NO_y for all
4 regional and altitudes, implying a good understanding of NO_y chemistry.

5 We estimated the export efficiency f and related speciation of NO_y out of the North American
6 boundary layer with a Lagrangian analysis of NO_y-CO correlations in the free troposphere,
7 following the approach of *Parrish et al.* [2004]. For the ICARTT data at 2.5-6.5 km altitude we
8 find $f = 16 \pm 10\%$ in the observations and $14 \pm 8\%$ in the model, consistent with previous studies of
9 North American and Asian outflow [*Li et al.*, 2004; *Parrish et al.*, 2004; *Koike et al.*, 2003;
10 *Miyazaki et al.*, 2003]. The highest export efficiency is in the lower free troposphere but is then
11 mostly HNO₃ venting from shallow convection. We find that PAN is the dominant component of
12 exported NO_y (>50%) in pollution plumes above 3.5 km, consistent with previous studies of
13 Asian outflow. The successful simulation of export of North American NO_y offers confidence in
14 current model estimates of North American influence on the global NO_y and ozone budgets [*Li et*
15 *al.*, 2004] with implications for intercontinental pollution [*Li et al.*, 2002]..

16

17 **Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by the NASA Global Tropospheric Chemistry
18 Program and the NOAA Office of Global Programs. We thank K.E. Pickering, J.H. Crawford,
19 J.R. Olson, and G. Chen for many useful discussions.

1 **References**

2 Allen, D., and K. Pickering (2002), Evaluation of lightning flash rate parameterizations for use in
3 a global chemical transport model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D23), 4711-4731.

4

5 Bey I., D. J. Jacob, R. M. Yantosca, J. A. Logan, B. D. Field, A. M. Fiore, Q. Li, H. Y. Liu, L. J.
6 Mickley, and M. G. Schultz (2001), Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated
7 meteorology: Model description and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 23,073-23,096.

8

9 Beirle, S., N. Spichtinger, A. Stohl, K. L. Cummins, T. Turner, D. Boccippio, O. R. Cooper, M.
10 Wenig, M. Grzegorski, U. Platt, and T. Wagner (2006), Estimating the NO_x produced by
11 lightning from GOME and NLDN data: a case study in the Gulf of Mexico, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
12 6, 1075-1089.

13

14 Binkowski, F. S., and S. J. Roselle (2003), Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality
15 (CMAQ) model aerosol component: 1. Model description, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D6), 4183,
16 doi:10.1029/2001JD001409.

17

18 Boccippio, D.J., K.L. Cummins, H.J. Christian, and S.J. Goodman (2001), Combined satellite and
19 surface-based estimation of the intracloud-cloud-to-ground lightning ratio over the Continental
20 United States, *Mon. Weath. Rev.*, 129, 108-122.

21

22 Boersma, K. F., H. J. Eskes, E. W. Meijer, and H. M. Kelder (2005), Estimates of lightning NO_x
23 production from GOME satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2311-2331.

24

25 Chameides, W. L., F. Fehsenfeld, M.O. Rodgers, C. Cardelino, J. Martinez, D. Parrish, W.
26 Lonneman, D. Lawson, R. Rasmussen, P. Zimmerman, J. Greenberg, and P. Middleton (1992),
27 Ozone precursor relationships in the ambient atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 6037-6055.

28

29 Chin, M., D.J. Jacob, J.W. Munger, D.D. Parrish, and B.G. Doddridge (1994), Relationship of
30 ozone and carbon monoxide over North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 14565-14573.

31

32 Cohen, R. C., et al., (2000), Quantitative constraints on the atmospheric chemistry of nitrogen
33 oxides: An analysis along chemical coordinates, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D19), 24283-24304,
34 doi:10.1029/2000JD900290.

35

36 Cooper, O.R., A. Stohl, M. Trainer, A. Thompson, J. C. Witte, S. J. Oltmans, B. J. Johnson, J.
37 Merrill, J. L. Moody, G. Morris, D. Tarasick, G. Forbes, P. Nédélec, F. C. Fehsenfeld, J.
38 Meagher, M. J. Newchurch, F. J. Schmidlin, Solène Turquety, J. H. Crawford, K. E. Pickering, S.
39 L. Baughcum, W. H. Brune and C. C. Brown (2006), Large upper tropospheric ozone
40 enhancements above mid-latitude North America during summer: In situ evidence from the IONS
41 and MOZAIC ozone monitoring network, submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*

42

43 Crawford, J., D. Davis, J. Olson, G. Chen, S. Liu, H. Fuelberg, J. Hannan, Y. Kondo, B.
44 Anderson, G. Gregory, G. Sachse, R. Talbot, A. Viggiani, B. Heikes, J. Sno, H. Singh, and D.
45 Blake (2000), Evolution and chemical consequences of lightning-produced NO_x observed in the
46 North Atlantic upper troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.* 105(D15), 19,795-19,809.

47

48 Dibb J. E., R. W. Talbot, E. M. Scheuer, G. Seid, M. A. Avery, H. B. Singh (2003b), Aerosol
49 chemical composition in Asian continental outflow during the TRACE-P campaign: Comparison
50 with PEM-West B, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D21), 8815, doi:10.1029/2002JD003111.

1
2
3 Edwards D. P., et al. (2004), Observations of carbon monoxide and aerosols from the Terra
4 satellite: Northern Hemisphere variability, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D24202,
5 doi:10.1029/2004JD004727.
6
7 Fehsenfeld, F., et al., (2006), International Consortium for Atmospheric Transport and
8 Transformation (ICARTT): North America to Europe: Overview of 2004 summer field study, *J.*
9 *Geophys. Res.*, in preparation.
10
11 Fiore, A.M., D.J. Jacob, I. Bey, R.M. Yantosca, B.D. Field, A.C. Fusco, and J.G. Wilkinson
12 (2002), Background ozone over the United States in summer: Origin, trend, and contribution to
13 pollution episodes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107 (D15) , doi:10.1029/2001JD000982.
14
15 Fiore, A.M., D.J. Jacob, H. Liu, R.M. Yantosca, T.D. Fairlie, and Q.B. Li (2003a), Variability in
16 surface ozone background over the United States: Implications for air quality policy, *J. Geophys.*
17 *Res.*, 108, 4787, doi:10.1029/2003JD003855.
18
19 Fiore, A.M., D.J. Jacob, R. Mathur, and R.V. Martin (2003b), Application of empirical
20 orthogonal functions to evaluate ozone simulations with regional and global models, *J. Geophys.*
21 *Res.*, 108, 4431, doi: 10.1029/2002JD003151.
22
23 Frost, G. J., et al. (2006), Effects of changing power plant NO_x emissions on ozone in the eastern
24 United States: Proof of concept, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D12306, doi:10.1029/2005JD006354.
25
26 Fuelberg, H.E., M. Porter, C.M. Kiley, and D. Morse (2006), A meteorological overview of the
27 INTEX-A period, *J. Geophys. Res.*, submitted.
28
29 M. Gauss, I. S. A. Isaksen, D. S. Lee, and O. A. Søvde, Impact of aircraft NOx emissions on the
30 atmosphere – tradeoffs to reduce the impact, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1529-1548, 2006
31
32 Grewe, V., M. Dameris, R. Hein, I. Köhler, and R. Sausen (1999), Impact of future subsonic
33 aircraft NO_x emissions on the atmospheric composition, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26(1), 47-50,
34 doi:10.1029/1998GL900249.
35
36 Heald, C.L., D.J. Jacob, S. Turquety, R.C. Hudman, R.J. Weber, A.P. Sullivan, R.E. Peltier, E.L.
37 Atlas, J.A. de Gouw, C. Warneke, J.S. Holloway, J.A. Neuman, F.M. Flocke, and J.H. Seinfeld
38 (2006), Concentrations and sources of organic carbon aerosol in the free troposphere over North
39 America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, submitted.
40
41 Heikes, B.G. (1992), Formaldehyde and hydroperoxides at Mauna-Loa Observatory, *J. Geophys.*
42 *Res.* (D16): 18001-18013.
43
44 Holloway, T., A. Fiore, and M. Galanter Hastings (2003), Intercontinental Transport of Air
45 Pollution: Will emerging science lead to a new hemispheric treaty?, *Environ. Sci. & Technol.* ,
46 37, 4535-4542.
47
48 Horowitz, L. W., J. Liang, G. M. Gardner, and D. J. Jacob (1998), Export of reactive nitrogen
49 from North America during summertime, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13,451-13,476.
50

1 Hudman, R. C., D. J. Jacob, O. C. Cooper, M. J. Evans, C. L. Heald, R. J. Park, F. Fehsenfeld, F.
 2 Flocke, J. Holloway, G. Hubler, K. Kita, M. Koike, Y. Kondo, A. Neuman, J. Nowak, S.
 3 Oltmans, D. Parrish, J. M. Roberts, and T. Ryerson (2004), Ozone production in transpacific
 4 Asian pollution plumes and implications for ozone air quality in California, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 5 109, D23S10, 10.1029/2004JD004974.

6

7 Hudman et al. (2006), Characterization of CO sources and ozone-co correlations over North
 8 America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in preparation.

9

10 Huey, L. G., et al (2004), CIMS measurements of HNO₃ and SO₂ at the South Pole during
 11 ISCAT 2000, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38 (32) 5411-5421.

12

13 H. Huntrieser, H. Schlager, H. Höller, U. Schumann, H.D. Betz, D. Boccippio, D. Brunner, C.
 14 Forster, and A. Stohl (2006), Lightning-produced NO_x in tropical, subtropical and midlatitude
 15 thunderstorms: New insights from airborne and lightning observations, *Geophysical Research
 16 Abstracts*, Vol. 8, 03286, 2006. SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU06-A-03286.

17

18 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2001), *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific
 19 Basis*, edited by J. T. Houghton et al., 944pp., Cambridge University Press, New York.

20

21 Jacob, D.J., J.A. Logan, and P.P. Murti (1999), Effect of rising Asian emissions on surface ozone
 22 in the United States, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 2175-2178.

23

24 Jacob, D. J., B. G. Heikes, S.-M. Fan, J. A. Logan, D. L. Mauzerall, J. D. Bradshaw, H. B. Singh,
 25 G. L. Gregory, R. W. Talbot, D. R. Blake, G. W. Sachse (1996), Origin of ozone and NO_x in the
 26 tropical troposphere: A photochemical analysis of aircraft observations over the South Atlantic
 27 basin, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101(D19), 24235-24250, 10.1029/96JD00336.

28

29 Jacob, D.J., J.A. Logan, G.M. Gardner, R.M. Yevich, C.M. Spivakovsky, S.C. Wofsy, S. Sillman,
 30 and M.J. Prather (1993), Factors regulating ozone over the United States and its export to the
 31 global atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 14817-14826.

32

33 Jaeglé, L., D.J. Jacob, W.H. Brune, and P.O. Wennberg, Chemistry of HO_x radicals in the upper
 34 troposphere, *Atmos. Env.*, 35, 469-489, 2001.

35

36 Jaeglé, L., D. J. Jacob, Y. Wang, A. J. Weinheimer, B. A. Ridley, T. L. Campos, G. W. Sasche,
 37 and D.E. Hagen (1998), Sources and
 38 chemistry of NO_x in the upper troposphere over the United Stated, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 1705-
 39 1708.

40

41 Jaeglé, L., D.J. Jacob, W. H. Brune, I. Faloona, D. Tan, B. G. Heikes, Y. Kondo, G. W. Sachse, B.
 42 Anderson, G. L. Gregory, H. B. Singh, R. Pueschel, G. Ferry, D. R. Blake, R. Shetter,
 43 Photochemistry of HO_x in the upper troposphere at northern midlatitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.* ,
 44 105, 3877-3892, 2000.

45

46 Jaeglé, L., D.J. Jacob, P.O. Wennberg, T.F. Hanisco, E.L. Lanzendorf, C.M. Spivakovsky, E.
 47 Hintsza, D.W. Fahey, E.R. Keim, M.H. Proffitt, E. Atlas, T.E. McElroy, C. Midwinter, J. Wilson,
 48 C.R. Webster, R.D. May, D.C. Scott, R. Herman, L. Pfister, K.R. Chan, Observations of OH and
 49 HO₂ in the upper troposphere suggest a strong source from convective injection of peroxides,
 50 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 3181-3184, 1997.

51

1 Koike, M., et al. (2003), Export of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen and sulfur compounds from
2 the East Asia region in spring, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D20), 8789, doi:10.1029/2002JD003284.

3

4 Levy II, H., W. J. Moxim, A. A. Klonecki, and P. S. Kasibhatla, (1999): Simulated tropospheric
5 NO_x : Its evaluation, global distribution and individual source contributions. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
6 104(D21), 26,279-26,306.

7

8 Li, Q.B., D.J. Jacob, T.D. Fairlie, H.Y. Liu, R.M. Yantosca, and R.V. Martin (2002a),
9 Stratospheric versus pollution influences on ozone at Bermuda (2002a): Reconciling past
10 analyses, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D22), 4611, doi:10.1029/2002JD002138.

11

12 Li, Q.B., D.J. Jacob, I. Bey, P.I. Palmer, B.N. Duncan, B.D. Field, R.V. Martin, A.M. Fiore, R.M.
13 Yantosca, D.D. Parrish, P.G. Simmonds, and S.J. Oltmans (2002b), Transatlantic transport of
14 pollution and its effects on surface ozone in Europe and North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
15 107(D13), doi:10.1029/2001JD001422.

16

17 Li, Q.B., D.J. Jacob, R.M. Yantosca, J.W. Munger, and D.D. Parrish (2004), Export of NO_y from
18 the North American Boundary Layer: Reconciling Aircraft Observations and Global Model
19 Budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D02313, 10.1029/2003JD004086.

20

21 Li, Q., D. J. Jacob, R. Park, Y. Wang, C. L. Heald, R. Hudman, R. M. Yantosca, R. V. Martin,
22 and M. Evans (2005), North American pollution outflow and the trapping of convectively lifted
23 pollution by upper-level anticyclone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D10301, doi:10.1029/2004JD005039

24

25 Liang, J., L. W. Horowitz, D. J. Jacob, Y. Wang, A. M. Fiore, J. A. Logan, G. M. Gardner, and J.
26 W. Munger (1998), Seasonal variations of reactive nitrogen species and ozone over the United
27 States, and export fluxes to the global atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13,435–13,450.

28

29 Liang et al. (2006), Summertime influence of Asian pollution in the free troposphere over North
30 America , *J. Geophys. Res.*, in preparation..

31

32 Liu, H., D. J. Jacob, I. Bey, and R. M. Yantosca (2001), Constraints from 210Pb and 7Be on wet
33 deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by
34 assimilated meteorological fields, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 12,109– 12,128.

35

36 Liu, S. C., M. Trainer, F. C. Fehsenfeld, D. D. Parrish, E. J. Williams, D. W. Fahey, G. Gubler,
37 and P.C. Murphy (1987), Ozone production in the rural troposphere and the Implications for
38 regional and global ozone distributions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 4191-4207.

39

40 Liu, Y., J.A. Sarnat, V. Kilaru, D.J. Jacob, and P. Koutrakis (2005), Estimating ground-level
41 PM2.5 in the eastern United States using satellite remote sensing, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Article
42 10.1021.

43

44 Liu, Y., J.A. Sarnat, B.A. Coull, P. Koutrakis, and D.J. Jacob (2004), Validation of multiangle
45 imaging spectroradiometer (MISR) aerosol optical thickness measurements using aerosol robotic
46 network (AERONET) observations over the contiguous United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, Art.
47 No. D06205.

48

49 Martin, R. V., et al. (2002), Interpretation of TOMS observations of tropical tropospheric ozone
50 with a global model and in situ observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D18), 4351,
51 doi:10.1029/2001JD001480.

1
2 Martin, R.V., D.J. Jacob, K.V. Chance, T.P. Kurosu, P.I. Palmer, and M.J. Evans (2003), Global
3 inventory of nitrogen oxide emissions constrained by space-based observations of NO₂ columns,
4 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D17), 4537, doi:10.1029/2003JD003453.
5
6 Martin, R.V., C.E. Sioris, K. Chance, T.B. Ryerson, T.H. Bertram, P.J. Wooldridge, R.C. Cohen,
7 J.A. Neuman, A. Swanson, and F.M. Flocke (2006a), Evaluation of space-based constraints on
8 global nitrogen oxide emissions with regional aircraft measurements over and downwind of
9 eastern North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2005JD006680, in press.
10
11 Martin, R.V., B. Sauvage, I. Folkins, C.E. Sioris, C. Boone, P. Bernath, and J.R. Ziemke (2006b),
12 Space-based constraints on the production of nitric oxide by lightning, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
13 submitted.
14
15 McLinden, C. A., S. C. Olsen, B. Hannegan, O. Wild, M. J. Prather, J. Sundet, Stratospheric
16 ozone in 3-D models: A simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
17 105(D11), 14653-14666, 10.1029/2000JD900124, 2000.
18
19 Meijer EW, van Velthoven PFJ, Thompson AM, et al. (2000), Model calculations of the impact
20 of NO_x from air traffic, lightning, and surface emissions, compared with measurements, *J.
21 Geophys. Res.*, 105 (D3), 3833-3850.
22
23 Mickley, L.J., D.J. Jacob, B.D. Field, and D. Rind (2004), Effects of future climate change on
24 regional air pollution episodes in the United States, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, L24103,
25 doi:10.1029/2004GL021216.
26
27 Millet, D.B., D.J. Jacob, S. Turquety, R.C. Hudman, S. Wu, A. Fried, J. Walega, B.G. Heikes,
28 D.R. Blake, H.B. Singh, B.E. Anderson, and A.D. Clarke (2006), Formaldehyde distribution over
29 North America: Implications for satellite retrievals of formaldehyde columns and isoprene
30 emission, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in press.
31
32 Miyazaki, Y., et al. (2003), Synoptic-scale transport of reactive nitrogen over the western Pacific
33 in spring, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D20), 8788, doi:10.1029/2002JD003248.
34
35 Murphy, D. M., D. W. Fahey, M. H. Proffitt, S. C. Liu, K. R. Chan, C. S. Eubank, S. R. Kawa,
36 and K. Kelly (1993), Reactive nitrogen and its correlation with ozone in the lower stratosphere
37 and upper troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98(D5), 8751-8773.
38
39 Nesbitt, S. W., R. Zhang, and R. E. Orville (2000): Seasonal and global NO production by
40 lightning estimated from the Optical Transient Detector (OTD). *Tellus*, 52, 1206-1215.
41
42 Olson J. R., J. H. Crawford, G. Chen, W. H. Brune, I. C. Faloona, D. Tan, H. Harder, M.
43 Martinez (2006a), A reevaluation of airborne HO x observations from NASA field campaigns, *J.
44 Geophys. Res.*, 111, D10301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006617.
45
46 Olson J. R., et al., in preparation for submission to *J. Geophys. Res.*
47
48 Orville, R. E. and G. R. Huffines, 2001: Cloud-to-ground lightning in the USA: NLDN results in
49 the first decade 1989-1998, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 129, 5, 1179-1193.
50

1 Ott, L. E., K. E. Pickering, G. L. Stenchikov, A. J. DeCaria, R.-F. Lin, D. Wang, S. Lang, and
 2 W.-K. Tao (2006), Production of lightning NO_x and its vertical distribution calculated from 3-D
 3 cloud-scale transport model simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in preparation.

4

5 Palmer, P. I., D. J. Jacob, K. Chance, R. V. Martin, R. J. D. Spurr, T. P. Kurosu, I. Bey, R.
 6 Yantosca, A. Fiore, and Q.B. Li (2001), Air mass factor formulation for spectroscopic
 7 measurements from satellites: application to formaldehyde retrievals from GOME, *J. Geophys.
 8 Res.*, 106, 14,539-14,550.

9

10 Palmer, P. I., D. J. Jacob, L. J. Mickley, D. R. Blake, G. W. Sachse, H. E. Fuelberg, and C. M.
 11 Kiley (2003), Eastern asian emissions of anthropogenic halocarbons deduced from aircraft
 12 concentration data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4753, doi:10.1029/2003JD003591.

13

14 Palmer, P. I., D. S. Abbot, T-Z. Fu, D. J. Jacob, K. Chance, T. P. Kuruso, A. Guenther, C.
 15 Wiedinmyer, J. C. Stanton, M. J. Pilling, S. N. Pressley, B. Lamb, and A. L. Sumner (2006),
 16 Quantifying the seasonal and interannual variability of North American isoprene emissions using
 17 satellite observations of formaldehyde column, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in press.

18

19 Park, R. J., D. J. Jacob, N. Kumar, and R. M. Yantosca (2006), Regional visibility statistics in the
 20 United States: Natural and transboundary pollution influences, and implications for the Regional
 21 Haze Rule, *Atmos. Environ.*, accepted.

22

23 Park, R. J., D. J. Jacob, M. Chin and R. V. Martin (2003), Sources of carbonaceous aerosols over
 24 the United States and implications for natural visibility, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D12), 4355,
 25 doi:10.1029/2002JD003190.

26

27 Park, R. J., D. J. Jacob, B. D. Field, R. M. Yantosca, and M. Chin (2004), Natural and
 28 transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States:
 29 implications for policy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D15204, 10.1029/2003JD004473.

30

31 Parrish, D.D., Critical evaluation of U.S. on-road vehicle emission inventories, *Atmos. Env.*
 32 Volume 40, Issue 13, April 2006, Pages 2288-2300

33

34 Parrish, D. D., T. B. Ryerson, J. S. Holloway, J. A. Neuman, J. M. Roberts, J. Williams, C. A.
 35 Stroud, G. J. Frost, M. Trainer, G. Hubler, F. C. Fehsenfeld, F. Flocke, A. J. Weinheimer (2004),
 36 Fraction and composition of NO_y transported in airmasses lofted from the North American
 37 continental boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D09302, doi:10.1029/2003JD004226.

38

39 Pfister G., P. G. Hess, L. K. Emmons, J.-F. Lamarque, C. Wiedinmyer, D. P. Edwards, G. Pétron,
 40 J. C. Gille, G. W. Sachse (2005), Quantifying CO emissions from the 2004 Alaskan wildfires
 41 using MOPITT CO data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L11809, doi:10.1029/2005GL022995.

42

43 Pickering, K.E., A. M. Thompson, J. R. Scala, W. Tai, R. R. Dickerson, J. Simpson (1992), Free
 44 tropospheric Ozone Production Following Entrainment of Urban Plumes Into Deep Convection,
 45 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97(D16), 17985-18000.

46

47 Pickering, K. E., Y. Wang, W.-K. Tao, C. Price, J.-F. Müller (1998), Vertical distributions of
 48 lightning NO_x for use in regional and global chemical transport models, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 49 103(D23), 31203-31216, 10.1029/98JD02651.

50

1 Price, C., and D. Rind (1992), A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global
 2 lightning distributions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 9919– 9933.

3

4 Price, C., J. Penner, and M. Prather (1997), NO_x from lightning: 1. Global distribution based on
 5 lightning physics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 5929-5941.

6

7 Ren., X. et al., (2006), HOx observation and model comparison during INTEX-NA 2004,
 8 submitted to , *J. Geophys. Res.*

9

10 Ridley, B. A., J. G. Walega, J. E. Dye, F. E. Grahek, Distributions of NO, NO_x, NO_y, and O₃ to
 11 12 km altitude during the summer monsoon season over New Mexico, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(D12),
 12 25519-25534, 10.1029/94JD02210, 1994.

13

14 Singh, H. B., W. Brune, J. Crawford, D. Jacob (2006a), Overview of the Summer 2004
 15 Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-North America (INTEX-A), *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 16 submitted.

17

18 Singh, H.B., L. Salas, D. Herlth, R. Kolyer, E. Czech, M. Avery, J. H. Crawford, R. B. Pierce, G.
 19 W. Sachse, D. R. Blake, R. C. Cohen, J. Dibb, G. Huey, R. C. Hudman, S. Turquety, L. K.
 20 Emmons, F. Flocke, Y. Tang, G. R. Carmichael, L. W. Horowitz (2006b), Reactive Nitrogen
 21 Distribution and Partitioning in the North American Troposphere and Lowermost Stratosphere, *J.
 22 Geophys. Res.*, submitted.

23

24 Spivakovsky, C. M., J. A. Logan, S. A. Montzka, Y. J. Balkanski, M. Foremen-Fowler, D. B. A.
 25 Jones, L. W. Horowitz, C. A. M. Brenninkmeijer, M. J. Prather, S. C. Wofsy, and M. B. McElroy
 26 (2000), Three dimensional climatological distribution of tropospheric OH: update and evaluation,
 27 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 8931-8980.

28

29 Stohl, A., M. Trainer, T. B. Ryerson, J. S. Holloway, and D. D. Parrish (2002), Export of NO_y
 30 from the North American boundary layer during 1996 and 1997 North Atlantic Regional
 31 Experiments, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D11), 4131, doi:10.1029/2001JD000519.

32

33 Thompson, A.M., J.C. Witte, J.B. Stone, T.L. Kucsma, B.F. Taubman, J.T. Merrill, E. Joseph,
 34 F.J. Schmidlin, B.J. Johnson, S.J. Oltmans, D.J. Tarasick, G. Morris, and M.J. Newchurch (2006),
 35 Tropospheric Ozone in Eastern North America in July-August 2004: Profile Views from “The
 36 Summer that Wasn’t”, in preparation for submission to *J. Geophys. Res.*

37

38 Thompson, A.M., K.E. Pickering, R.R. Dickerson, W.G. Ellis, D. J. Jacob, J. R. Scala, W.K. Tao,
 39 D.P. McNamara, J. Simpson (1994), Convective Transport over the central United States and its
 40 role in regional CO and ozone budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(D9), 18703-18711.

41

42 Thornton, J., and J. P. D. Abbatt (2005), Measurements of HO₂ uptake to aqueous aerosol: Mass
 43 accommodation coefficients and net reactive loss, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D08309,
 44 doi:10.1029/2004JD005402.

45

46 Trentmann, J., M. O. Andreae, and Hans-F. Graf (2003), Chemical processes in a young biomass-
 47 burning plume, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D22), 4705, doi:10.1029/2003JD003732.

48

49 Turquety, S., J.A. Logan, D.J. Jacob, R.C. Hudman, F.Y. Leung, C.L. Heald, R. M. Yantosca, S.
 50 Wu, L. K. Emmons, D.P. Edwards, and G.W. Sachse(2006), Inventory of boreal fire emissions

1 for North America in 2004: the importance of peat burning and pyro-convective injection,
2 submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*

3

4 van Donkelaar, A., R. V. Martin, R. J. Park, C. L. Heald, T.-M. Fu, H. Liao, and A. Guenther
5 (2006), Model evidence for a significant source of secondary organic aerosol from isoprene,
6 submitted to *Atmos. Environ.*

7

8 van Donkelaar, A., R. V. Martin, and R. J. Park (2006), Estimating ground-level PM2.5 with
9 aerosol optical depth determined from satellite remote sensing , submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*

10

11 Wang, Y., D.J. Jacob, and J.A. Logan, Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon
12 chemistry (1998), 1. Model formulation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103/D9, 10,713-10,726.

13

14 Wennberg, P.O., et al., (1998), Hydrogen radicals, nitrogen radicals and the production of ozone
15 in the middle and upper troposphere, *Science*, 279, 49-53.

16

17 Xiao, Y., et al. (2006), Atmospheric acetylene and its relationship with CO as an indicator of air
18 mass age, in preparation for submission to *J. Geophys. Res.*

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

1 **Table Caption**

2

3 **Table 1.** Contiguous United States NO_x Emissions for July 1 – August 15, 2004

4

5 **Figure Captions**

6

7 **Figure 1.** Flight tracks for ITCT 2k4 (right) and INTEX-NA (left) aircraft campaigns

8 (July 1- August 15) shaded by altitude. Shaded areas define regions (left) used in

9 comparisons between model and observations: South (mid-grey), Northeast (light grey)

10 and Midwest (dark grey).

11

12 **Figure 2.** Mean July-August anthropogenic NO_x emissions in the United States. 1999

13 values from the EPA National Emissions Inventory (NEI99 v1) are compared to 2004

14 values including a 50% reduction in point source emissions. Emissions from Canada and

15 Mexico are from GEIA scaled to 1998 following *Bey et al.*, [2001]. Colorscale saturates

16 at 5×10^{12} molecules/cm⁻² s⁻¹

17

18 **Figure 3.** Mean vertical profiles of OH, HO₂, H₂O₂, HCHO and HNO₄ concentrations.

19 Observations from the DC-8 aircraft (thick black) are compared to model results using

20 the original (dashed grey) and improved (thick grey) simulations and compared to

21 observations (black). Horizontal bars are standard deviations on the observations.

22 Here and in subsequent figures, the ICARTT observations have been filtered to remove

23 urban plumes, biomass burning plumes, and stratospheric air as described in text. Model

24 results are sampled along the flight tracks at the time of flights. Modifications to

25 emissions from the original to the improved simulation include a four-fold increase in the

26 lightning source, upward extension of the lightning source to the July mean tropopause

27 height, and a 50% reduction of the NEI99 v1 CO transport and NO_x point source

28 emissions.

29

30 **Figure 4.** Same as Figure 3 for CO, NO_x (median), PAN, HNO₃, and ozone

31 concentrations as sampled by the DC-8 (top) and the WP-3D (bottom) aircraft.

32

33 **Figure 5.** Mean upper tropospheric NO_x concentrations (8-12 km) during ICARTT (July -

34 August 2004). Observations (left) are compared to improved model values (right) over

35 the 20x2.50 model grid. The improved model has a factor of four increase in the United

36 States lightning emissions relative to the standard GEOS-Chem version.

37

38 **Figure 6.** Mean lightning flash rates for July 1 - August 15, 2004. Observations of cloud-

39 to-ground lightning from the National Lightning Detection Network, multiplied

40 uniformly by a factor of 4 to account for intracloud lightning, are compared to standard

41 GEOS-Chem results.

42

43 **Figure 7.** Cloud-to-ground lightning flash counts in July-August 2000-2005 over the

44 United States. National Lightning Detection Network flash counts (grey bars) are

45 compared to modeled flash counts in GEOS-Chem derived using GEOS-4 meteorology

46 for the domain 130-70°W, 25-50°N (grey and white bars). Model flash counts are divided

1 uniformly by a factor of 4 to account for intracloud lightning [Boccipio *et al.*, 2001]. The
2 jump in NLDN data between 2001 to 2002 reflects an upgrade in detection; thus,
3 comparable years are 2003-2005.

4

5 **Figure 8.** Frequency distribution of concentrations at 8-12 km altitude during ICARTT.
6 Observations (line) are compared to results from the improved model with increased
7 lightning source (grey bars). The frequency distribution is shown as the number of
8 occurrences on the $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid.

9

10 **Figure 9.** Mean relative molar contribution of NO_x , PAN and HNO_3 , to total NO_y defined
11 as $\text{NO}_y = \text{NO}_x + \text{PAN} + \text{HNO}_3$ in the ICARTT data (July 1 - August 15, 2004). Urban,
12 biomass burning, and stratospheric plumes have been excluded as described in the text.
13 Observations from the DC-8 and WP-3D aircraft are compared to model results
14 sampled along the flight tracks.

15

16 **Figure 10.** Speciated export efficiency of NO_y (defined as $\text{NO}_y = \text{NO}_x + \text{PAN} + \text{HNO}_3$)
17 from the North American boundary layer during ICARTT, derived from equation (1) as a
18 function of altitude. Observations (left) are compared to model results (right).

19